arXiv:hep-th/9709121v1 16 Sep 1997

CHIRAL INTERACTIONS OF MASSIVE PARTICLES IN THE $(1/2,0)\oplus(0,1/2)$ REPRESENTATION

VALERI V. DVOEGLAZOV

Escuela de Física, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas
Apartado Postal C-580, Zacatecas 98068, Zac., México
Internet address: valeri@cantera.reduaz.mx
URL: http://cantera.reduaz.mx/˜ valeri/valeri.htm

Abstract. On the basis of the first principles we argue that self/anti-self charge conjugate states of the $(1/2,0)\oplus(0,1/2)$ representation can possess the axial charge. Finally, we briefly discuss recent claims of the $\sim \vec{\sigma}\cdot[\vec{A}\times\vec{A}^*]$ interaction term for the particles of this representation.

Key words: Chiral interactions, Poincaré group representations

PACS numbers: 03.65.Pm, 11.30.Er, 12.60.-i, 14.60.St

It is well known that the Dirac equation and the relevant theory of charged particles do *not* admit the γ^5 chiral transformations. The sign in the mass term in the Lagrangian is reversed under this type of transformations. In the mean time, the chiral transformations play significant role in our understandings of the nature of weak and strong interactions, in the problem of (un)existence of monopoles as well. In the present article we bother with the McLennan-Case reformulation [1, 2] of the Majorana theory [3], investigate the possibility of the different choice of the field operator and prove that massive self/anti-self charge conjugate states appropriately defined in the $(1/2,0)\oplus(0,1/2)$ representation possess the axial charge (cf. also refs. [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and related papers [9, 10]).

We start from the observation that the Dirac field operator, which satisfies the Dirac equation[1]

$$[\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \kappa]\psi(x^\mu) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

can be expanded in the following parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x^\mu) &= \psi_\uparrow(x^\mu) + \psi_\downarrow(x^\mu)\ , &(2)\\
\psi_\uparrow(x^\mu) &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}\left[u_\uparrow(p^\mu)a_\uparrow(p^\mu)e^{-i\phi} + \mathcal{C}u^*_\uparrow(p^\mu)b^\dagger_\downarrow(p^\mu)e^{+i\phi}\right]\ , &(3)\\
\psi_\downarrow(x^\mu) &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p}\left[u_\downarrow(p^\mu)a_\downarrow(p^\mu)e^{-i\phi} - \mathcal{C}u^*_\downarrow(p^\mu)b^\dagger_\uparrow(p^\mu)e^{+i\phi}\right]\ , &(4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi = (Et - \vec{x}\cdot\vec{p})/\hbar$. The charge-conjugate counterparts of these "field operators" are found in a straightforward way. Both $\psi_\uparrow$, $\psi^c_\uparrow$ and $\psi_\downarrow$, $\psi^c_\downarrow$ can be used to form self/anti-self charge conjugate "field operators" in the coordinate representation after regarding corresponding superpositions. For instance, $\Psi^S = (\psi_\uparrow + \psi^c_\uparrow)/2$, $\Psi^A = (\psi_\downarrow - \psi^c_\downarrow)/2$, $\widetilde{\Psi}^S = (\psi_\downarrow + \psi^c_\downarrow)/2$, and $\widetilde{\Psi}^A = (\psi_\uparrow - \psi^c_\uparrow)/2$. As opposed to K. M. Case we introduce the interaction with the 4-vector potential in the beginning and substitute $\partial_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu$ in the equation (1). For the sake of generality we assume that the 4-vector potential is a *complex* field $A_\mu = C_\mu + iB_\mu$, what is the extension of this concept comparing with the usual quantum-field consideration.[2] The charge-conjugated equation to (1) reads

$$(\gamma^\mu \nabla^*_\mu + \kappa)C\psi^\dagger(x^\mu) = 0\,. \tag{5}$$

---

[1] Of course, the mathematical framework, which we present, depends on the definitions and postulates. One can start from the Dirac equation or one can start from the postulates of D. V. Ahluwalia [11], see below. But, the physically relevant conclusions do not change. The notation and metric of the paper [2] is used. Namely, $g^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$ and γ matrices can be chosen as follows

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\\ -i & 0\end{pmatrix}\,,\ \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma^i\\ -i\sigma^i & 0\end{pmatrix}\,,\ \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}\,.$$

The Pauli charge-conjugation 4×4 matrix is then

$$C = e^{i\vartheta_c}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Theta\\ -\Theta & 0\end{pmatrix}\,,\ \text{where}\ \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\,.$$

It has the properties

$$C = C^T\,,\ C^* = C^{-1}\,,$$
$$C^{-1}\gamma^\mu C = {\gamma^\mu}^*\,,\ C^{-1}\gamma^5 C = -{\gamma^5}^*\,,$$

which are independent on the representation for γ matrices.

[2] In the classical (quantum) field theory the 4-vector potential in the coordinate representation is a *real* function(al). We still note that different choices of a) relations between the left- and right- parts of the momentum-space bispinors; b) relations between creation and annihilation operators in the field operator; and c) metrics would induce ones to change this conclusion for interactions of various field configurations which one considers.

Following the logic of refs. [1, 2, 5, 6, 8] (the separation of different *chirality* sub-spaces) we also consider additional equations for $\gamma^5\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and $\gamma^5\psi^c_{\uparrow\downarrow}$. Next, let us introduce the following linear combinations $\psi_1 = \psi^c_\uparrow - \gamma^5\psi_\downarrow$, $\psi_2 = \psi_\downarrow + \gamma^5\psi^c_\uparrow$, $\psi_3 = \psi^c_\downarrow + \gamma^5\psi_\uparrow$, and $\psi_4 = \psi_\uparrow - \gamma^5\psi^c_\downarrow$, which can be used to represent solutions we seek. Then we proceed with simple algebraic transformations of the set of four equations (for $\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $\psi^c_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, $\gamma^5\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ and $\gamma^5\psi^c_{\uparrow\downarrow}$) to obtain ($\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + eB_\mu$)

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\psi_1 - \gamma^5\psi_4) + ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu(\gamma^5\psi_2 + \psi_3) + \kappa(\gamma^5\psi_2 + \psi_3) &= 0\,, \quad (6)\\ \gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\gamma^5\psi_2 + \psi_3) + ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu(\psi_1 - \gamma^5\psi_4) + \kappa(\psi_1 - \gamma^5\psi_4) &= 0\,. \quad (7)\end{aligned}$$

Other two equations are obtained after multiplying (6,7) by the γ^5 matrix.[3] Let us next impose $\widetilde{\Psi}^S = 0$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}^A = 0$. They are equivalent either to the constraints on the creation/annihilation operators $a_\uparrow(p^\mu) = b_\downarrow(p^\mu)$ and $a_\downarrow(p^\mu) = b_\uparrow(p^\mu)$, or constraints $\psi_\uparrow = \psi^c_\uparrow \equiv \psi^s$ and $\psi_\downarrow = -\psi^c_\downarrow \equiv \psi^a$. The functions $\psi_{1,2,3,4}$ become to be interrelated by the conditions

$$\psi_1 = \psi^s - \gamma^5\psi^a\,,\ \psi_2 = \psi^a + \gamma^5\psi^s\,,\ \psi_3 \equiv \gamma^5\psi_1\,,\ \psi_4 \equiv \gamma^5\psi_2\,. \qquad (8)$$

It is the simple procedure to show that ψ_1 presents itself self charge conjugate field and ψ_2, the anti-self charge conjugate field.[4] As a result one obtains the following dynamical equations:

$$\gamma^\mu D^*_\mu\psi_1 + \kappa\gamma^5\psi_2 = 0\,, \quad \gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi_2 - \kappa\gamma^5\psi_1 = 0\,, \qquad (9)$$

where the lengthening derivative is now defined

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ie\gamma^5 C_\mu + eB_\mu\,.$$

The equations for the Dirac conjugated counterparts of $\psi_{1,2}$ read

$$\partial_\mu\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^\mu + \kappa\overline{\psi}_2\gamma^5 = 0\,, \quad \partial_\mu\overline{\psi}_2\gamma^\mu - \kappa\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^5 = 0\,. \qquad (10)$$

---

[3] We note the interesting fact (obvious and well-known but *not always* fully appreciated): after different constraints one imposes on the functions $\psi_{1,2,3,4}$ one obtains different physical pictures. Namely, if $\psi_2 + \gamma^5\psi_3 = \psi_4 - \gamma^5\psi_1$, which results in the rather unexpected constraints $\gamma^5(\psi^c_\uparrow + \psi^c_\downarrow) = 0$, one can recover the equation which is similar to the Dirac equation, the interaction of the eigenstates of the charge operator with the 4-vector potential, but with the opposite sign in the mass term. The complete consideration of this condition should be presented in a separate paper. At this point we note only that it appears to express the fact that for describing a pair of charge particles it is sufficient to use only the Dirac field operator $\psi(x^\mu) = \psi_\uparrow + \psi_\downarrow$.

[4] The operator of the charge conjugation and the chirality γ^5 operator are *anti-commuting* operators.

One can propose the Lagrangian for free fields $\psi_{1,2}$ and their Dirac conjugates (cf. with the concept of the extra Dirac equations in ref. [10] and with the spin-1 case, ref. [12]):[5]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{free} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_1 - \partial_\mu\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^\mu\psi_1 + \overline{\psi}_2\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_2 - \partial_\mu\overline{\psi}_2\gamma^\mu\psi_2\right] + \\ &+ \kappa\left[\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^5\psi_2 - \overline{\psi}_2\gamma^5\psi_1\right]\;; \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

and the terms of the interaction:

$$\mathcal{L}^{int} = ie(\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi_1 - \overline{\psi}_2\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi_2)C_\mu + e(\overline{\psi}_1\gamma^\mu\psi_1 + \overline{\psi}_2\gamma^\mu\psi_2)B_\mu\,. \qquad (12)$$

The conclusion that self/anti-self charge conjugate can possess the axial charge is *in accordance* with the conclusions of refs. [5, 6, 7, 8] and the old ideas of R. E. Marshak et al. [13]. It is the remarkable feature of this model that we did *not* assume that self/anti-self charge conjugate fields are massless.

Now it is natural to ask the question, what physical excitations and which interaction scheme would we obtain if we impose different constraints on the positive- and negative- energy solutions. The Ahluwalia reformulation of the Majorana-McLennan-Case construct was presented recently [4]. The following type-II spinors have been defined

$$\lambda^{S,A} = \begin{pmatrix}\zeta_\lambda\Theta_{[j]}\phi_L^*(p^\mu)\\ \phi_L(p^\mu)\end{pmatrix}\,,\quad \rho^{S,A} = \begin{pmatrix}\phi_R(p^\mu)\\ (\zeta_\rho\Theta_{[j]})^*\phi_R^*(p^\mu)\end{pmatrix}\,. \qquad (13)$$

The positive-energy solutions are presented, e. g., by the self charge conjugate λ^S spinors, the negative energy solutions, by the anti-self charge conjugate λ^A spinors, see the formula (46) in [4]. In our choice of the operator of the charge conjugation ($\vartheta_c = 0$) the phase factors $\zeta_{\lambda,\rho}$ are fixed as ± 1, for λ^S (and ρ^S), and ∓ 1, for λ^A (and ρ^A), respectively. One can find relations between the type-II spinors and the Dirac spinors. They are listed here

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\uparrow^{S,A}(p^\mu) &= +\frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}u_\uparrow(p^\mu) \pm \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}u_\downarrow(p^\mu)\,, \qquad (14)\\ \lambda_\downarrow^{S,A}(p^\mu) &= \mp\frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}u_\uparrow(p^\mu) + \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}u_\downarrow(p^\mu)\,. \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

By using these relations one can deduce how is the ν operator, which was given by D. V. Ahluwalia, connected with the Dirac field operator and its charge conjugate. If $(a_\downarrow + b_\uparrow)/2 = (a_\uparrow - b_\downarrow)/2 \equiv c_\uparrow = d_\downarrow$ and $(a_\uparrow + b_\downarrow)/2 = (a_\downarrow - b_\uparrow)/2 \equiv c_\downarrow = d_\uparrow$ one has

---

[5] We still leave the room for other kinds of the Lagrangians describing self/anti-self charge conjugate states, see below and cf. [7].

$$\nu(x^\mu) = +\frac{1}{2}(\psi_\downarrow(x^\mu) - \psi^c_\uparrow(x^\mu)) - \frac{\gamma^5}{2}(\psi_\uparrow(x^\mu) + \psi^c_\downarrow(x^\mu)) \,. \tag{16}$$

The operator composed of ρ spinors

$$\tilde{\rho}(x^\mu) \equiv \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{2p_0}\sum_\eta \left[\overset{A}{\rho}_\eta(p^\mu)e_\eta(p^\mu)e^{-ip\cdot x} + \overset{S}{\rho}_\eta(p^\mu)f^\dagger_\eta(p^\mu)e^{+ip\cdot x}\right] \tag{17}$$

is then expressed[6] $\tilde{\nu}(x^\mu) = +\frac{1}{2}(\psi_\downarrow(x^\mu) + \psi^c_\uparrow(x^\mu)) + \frac{\gamma^5}{2}(\psi_\uparrow(x^\mu) - \psi^c_\downarrow(x^\mu))$. Other fields which we use in order to obtain dynamical equations are $\nu^c(x^\mu)$, $\gamma^5\nu(x^\mu)$ and $\gamma^5\nu^c(x^\mu)$, $\tilde{\nu}^c(x^\mu)$, $\gamma^5\tilde{\nu}(x^\mu)$ and $\gamma^5\tilde{\nu}^c(x^\mu)$. Their explicit forms will be presented in the extended version of this paper elsewhere. After rather tiresome calculation procedure one obtains the dynamical equations in this approach

$$\gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\nu - \nu^c) + ie\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 C_\mu(\nu - \nu^c) + \kappa\gamma^5(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) = 0\,, \tag{18}$$

$$\gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) - ie\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 C_\mu(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) - \kappa\gamma^5(\nu - \nu^c) = 0\,, \tag{19}$$

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\nu - \nu^c) + ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu(\nu - \nu^c) - \kappa(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) = 0\,, \tag{20}$$

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) - ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c) + \kappa(\nu - \nu^c) = 0\,, \tag{21}$$

and, for $\nu_1 = \nu - \nu^c + \tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\nu}^c$, $\nu_2 = \nu - \nu^c - \tilde{\nu} - \tilde{\nu}^c$,

$$(1 \pm \gamma^5)\left[\gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu\nu_1 \mp ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu\nu_2 \mp \kappa\nu_2\right] = 0\,, \tag{22}$$

$$(1 \pm \gamma^5)\left[\gamma^\mu\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu\nu_2 \mp ie\gamma^\mu C_\mu\nu_1 \pm \kappa\nu_1\right] = 0\,. \tag{23}$$

Thus, one can see that the operators $\nu(x^\mu) - \nu^c(x^\mu)$, and $\tilde{\nu}(x^\mu) + \tilde{\nu}^c(x^\mu)$ also satisfy the equations of the type (9).

The Ahluwalia construct is, in fact, based on other postulates, which may be used to derive the Dirac equations.[7] On using his postulates we need not to assume any constraints on the creation/annihilation operators for

---

[6] Of course, the certain relations between creation/annihilation operators of different field operators are again assumed.

[7] They are *not* less general than the Dirac postulates, but they can be applied to any spin constructions. Here they are

- The Wigner rules for transformations of left $(0, j)$ and right $(j, 0)$ "spinors" of any spin:

$$\phi_{R,L}(p^\mu) = \Lambda_{R,L}(p^\mu \leftarrow \overset{\circ}{p}^\mu)\phi_{R,L}(\overset{\circ}{p}^\mu) = \exp(\pm\vec{J}\cdot\vec{\varphi})\phi_{R,L}(\overset{\circ}{p}^\mu)\,, \tag{24}$$

  with $\vec{J}$ being the spin matrices for spin j, and φ being the parameters of the boost. The $(0, j)$- spinors (and $(j, 0)$- spinors) are assumed to be in the helicity eigenstates.

self/anti-self charge conjugate states $c_\eta(p^\mu)$, $d_\eta(p^\mu)$, $e_\eta(p^\mu)$ and $f_\eta(p^\mu)$. The self charge conjugate λ^S (anti-self charge conjugate λ^A) is coupled with anti-self charge conjugate ρ^A (self charge conjugate ρ^S), respectively, through the mass term. Equations become the eight-component equations both for states (and corresponding field operators) describing positive-energy and for the states corresponding to the negative energy. See equations (17,18) in [7].

In the Majorana-like constructs the change of the phase as $e^{i\alpha}$ would destroy the self/anti-self charge conjugacy. But, it is seen from (13) that the transformations which include the γ^5 matrix are indeed permitted for the type-II spinors. The γ^5 gauge-invariant Lagrangian was proposed in ref. [7] and local gradient transformations have been discussed there and in subsequent papers. Surprisingly, from this formulation one can still recover [14] the Feynman-Gell-Mann scheme [15] for two-spinors. Furthermore, in the recent paper [14] we proposed the $SU(2)$ graded transformations for neutrino (self/anti-self charge conjugate states). The Majorana-like ν operator admits the transformations $\nu'(x^\mu) = (c_0 + i\,\vec{\tau}\cdot\vec{c})\nu^\dagger(x^\mu)$, with $\vec{\tau}$ generators answering for the concept of the Wigner *sign* spin. If one considers the q- number theory the Lagrangian remains invariant under this sort of transformations.

Finally, the question of the existence of "longitudinal" interactions. The existence of this type of interactions has been claimed in the works of M. Evans. Unfortunately, his work contains many algebraic errors in derivation procedures, so it is difficult for me to comment it. But, recently, S. Esposito and E. Recami [16] found mathematically rigorously the interaction term of a Dirac fermion with $[\vec{A}\times\vec{A}^*]$. In their formulation which is based only on the usual Dirac equation this term can be reduced to the term of the type $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\nabla})\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a scalar potential. So, it is still of the interest to investigate the nature of these terms. The possibility of $\vec{\sigma}\cdot[\vec{A}\times\vec{A}^*]$ terms appears to be also related with the matters of chiral interactions. If the Dirac theory would admit the chiral phase transformations we would be able to write

$$\left[\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ieC_\mu + e\gamma^5 B_\mu) + \kappa\right]\psi_1 = 0 \quad . \tag{26}$$

- The relations between left- and right- "spinors" in the frame with zero momentum. For the spin-1/2 states they read

$$\left[\phi_L^h(\overset{\circ}{p}{}^\mu)\right]^* = (-1)^{1/2-h}\exp(-i(\theta_1+\theta_2))\Theta_{[1/2]}\phi_L^{-h}(\overset{\circ}{p}{}^\mu)\,, \tag{25}$$

  $\overset{\circ}{p}{}^\mu = (E = m, \vec{0})$ denotes the zero-momentum frame; and $\Theta_{[1/2]} = -i\sigma_2$ is the Wigner operator for spin $j = 1/2$. The property $\Theta_{[j]}\vec{J}\Theta_{[j]}^{-1} = -\vec{J}^*$ is used in derivations of dynamical equations.

After re-writing the equation into the two-component form we obtain

$$\sigma^\mu [\partial_\mu - ie(C_\mu - iB_\mu)]\chi + \kappa\phi = 0\,, \tag{27}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^\mu [\partial_\mu - ie(C_\mu + iB_\mu)]\phi + \kappa\chi = 0\,, \tag{28}$$

with $\psi = \mathrm{column}(\phi \quad \chi)$ and σ matrices slightly differing from the ordinary formulation: $\sigma^{\mu=0} = \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu=0} \rightarrow -iI$, and $\sigma^{\mu=i} = -\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu=i} \rightarrow i\sigma^i_{Pauli}$. From the equation (27,28) one can extract the term $\sim e^2 \sigma^i_{Pauli} \sigma^j_{Pauli} A^*_i A_j \,\phi$, which leads to the needed term ($A_\mu = C_\mu + iB_\mu$ again). But, we are unable to use the γ^5 gradient transformation for the Dirac fermion unless consider another 'Dirac-like' equation with the opposite sign in the mass term $[\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa]\psi_2 = 0$. Only in the case of *two* Dirac fields one can construct gauge-invariant Lagrangian. It is on this formulation that M. Markov [17, 18] and A. Barut and G. Ziino [5, 6, 8] insisted. We still leave the proof of the *necessity* of *two* Dirac equations for the extended version of the paper.

Acknowledgements. I acknowledge discussions with Profs. D. V. Ahluwalia, A. E. Chubykalo, S. Esposito, A. F. Pashkov and E. Recami. I am grateful to Zacatecas University, México, for a professorship. This work has been partly supported by the Mexican Sistema Nacional de Investigadores, the Programa de Apoyo a la Carrera Docente and by the CONACyT, México under the research project 0270P-E.

References

1. J. A. McLennan, Jr., Phys. Rev. **106** (1957) 821.
2. K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. **107** (1957) 307.
3. E. Majorana, Nuovo Cimento **14** (1937) 171.
4. D. V. Ahluwalia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**11** (1996) 1855.
5. G. Ziino, Ann. Fond. L. de Broglie **14** (1989) 427; ibid **16** (1991) 343.
6. A. O. Barut and G. Ziino, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**8** (1993) 1011.
7. V. V. Dvoeglazov, Nuovo Cimento **108**A (1995) 1467.
8. G. Ziino, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**11** (1996) 2081.
9. D. V. Ahluwalia et al., Mod. Phys. Lett. A**9** (1994) 439; Acta Phys. Polon. B**25** (1994) 1267.
10. V. V. Dvoeglazov, Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl. **41** (1995) 159; Int. J. Theor. Phys. **34** (1995) 2467; ibid. **36** (1997) 635; Nuovo Cimento **111**B (1996) 483.
11. D. V. Ahluwalia, M. B. Johnson and T. Goldman, Phys. Lett. B**316** (1993) 102.
12. V. V. Dvoeglazov, Helv. Phys. Acta, **70** (1997) 677, 686, 697.
13. R. E. Marshak, Riazuddin and C. P. Ryan, *"Theory of Weak Interactions."* (Wiley, NY, 1969).
14. V. V. Dvoeglazov, *"Gauge Transformations for Self/Anti-Self Charge Conjugate States."* Preprint EFUAZ FT-96-38-REV (aps 1997jul16 006), accepted in Acta Phys. Polon. (1997).
15. R. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. **109** (1958) 193.
16. S. Esposito, private communication (June 1997).
17. M. A. Markov, ZhETF **7** (1937) 579, ibid. 603.
18. M. A. Markov, Nucl. Phys. **55** (1964) 130.